## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

	/	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Patricia Wright		

## SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine)*Products Lability Litigation, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

### I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

## A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Plaintiff(s) **Patricia Wright** ("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

$\times$	On behalf of	[[himself/h	erself];
----------	--------------	-------------	----------

				In rethe	epresentative of injured party	capacity as the graph of the capacity as the graph of the capacity as the capa	Party's	, on behalf of Name)
	2.	-	_		currently a res		en of Fremo	ont, California
						—OR—		
						At the time of ity, State)		eath, Decedent
If any p	arty cl	aims los	ss of c	onso	rtium,			
	3.	N	I/A	a	alleges damag	es for loss of co	onsortium.	
	4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of (City, State)N/A						
	5.	State)	time tl			curred, Consor	tium Plaintiff	resided in (City,
В.	DEFE	NDAN	Γ(S)					
	6.			,	s) the following omplaint in the	ng Defendants is action:	from the Ame	ended Master
		a.	C C E E	GLAX GLAX GLAX BOEH BOEH BOEH	KOSMITHK HRINGER IN HRINGER IN HRINGER IN	LINE LLC; LINE (AMER) LINE PLC;	HARMACE CORPORAT ISA CORPO	RATION;
		b.	Gene	eric N	<b>Aanufacturer</b>	s:		
		c.	Distr	ibuto	ors and Repa	ckager:		
		d.	Reta	ilers:				
		e.	Othe	rs No	ot Named in 1	he AMPIC:		

### **DOES 1-100**

### C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

### II. PRODUCT USE

9.	The Injured P	arty used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
	[ ]	By prescription
	[X]	Over the counter

10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately (month, year) 01/2012 to 01/2014.

### III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
[X]	BLADDER CANCER	01/01/2014

	BREAST CANCER
[]	COLORECTAL CANCER
[]	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER
	GASTRIC CANCER
[]	KIDNEY CANCER
	LIVER CANCER
	LUNG CANCER
	PANCREATIC CANCER
	PROSTATE CANCER
	OTHER CANCER:
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

### IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):1

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check	Count	Cause of Action	States for which
all that			the cause of action
apply			was asserted in the AMPIC
			DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
$\times$	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper	All States and
		Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and	Territories, Except
		Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through	CA, DE, DC, HI,
		the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic	IN, KY, LA, MD,
		Manufacturer Defendants)	MA, MN, MO,
			NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to	All States and
		Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	DE, IA, MA, NC,
	VII	Strict Bradwata Lighility Design Defeat Due to	PA, and VA All States and
	V 11	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	DE, IA, MA, NC,
		and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	PA, and VA
$\boxtimes$	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name	KS, TX
		and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand-	All States and
<b>N 1</b>		Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the	All States and
		Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and	Territories
	777	Distributor Defendants)	1 11 G
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the	All States and
		Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name	CA only
	ΛII	Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in	CA only
		California)	
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name	MA only
		Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in	
		Massachusetts)	
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and
			Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and
			Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and
			Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):		

### V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 28th day of October, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Paige N. Boldt
Paige N. Boldt
Texas State Bar No. 24082626
Mikal C. Watts
Texas State Bar No. 20981820
Alicia D. O'Neill
Texas State Bar No. 24040801
WATTS GUERRA, LLC
5726 West Hausman Rd., Suite 119 San

Antonio, Texas 78249
Phone: (210) 447-0500
Fax: (210) 447-0501
pboldt@wattsguerra.com
mcwatts@wattsguerra.com
aoneil@wattsguerra.com

Counsel for Plaintiff